
Gateway Groundwater Conservation District

Part 2 – Data Required for Management Plan GAM Run 25-002

TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD

Yasin Abulsayen and Shirley Wade, Ph.D., P.G.
Texas Water Development Board
Groundwater Modeling Department
September 19, 2025



Shirley C. Wade
9/19/2025

INTRODUCTION

Texas Water Code § 36.1071(h), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, a groundwater conservation district shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the Executive Administrator of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the Executive Administrator.

The TWDB provides data and information to the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District in two parts. This report constitutes part 2, the required groundwater availability modeling information, which includes:

1. the annual amount of recharge from precipitation, if any, to the groundwater resources within the district;
2. the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface-water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers, for each aquifer within the district; and
3. the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

This report replaces the results of GAM Run 19-023 (Cha, 2019). We used two groundwater availability models for the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District. Information for the Seymour and Blaine aquifers is from version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the Seymour and Blaine aquifers (Ewing and others, 2004). Information for the Ogallala and Dockum aquifers is from version 1.02 of the groundwater availability model for the High Plains Aquifer System (Cawthon and Wade, 2025, Deeds and Jigmond, 2015, and Deeds and others, 2015).

The groundwater management plan for the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District should be adopted by the district on or before December 10, 2025 and submitted to the Executive Administrator of the TWDB on or before January 9, 2026. The current management plan for the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District expires on March 10, 2026.

METHODS

In accordance with the provisions of the Texas Water Code § 36.1071 (h), the groundwater availability models mentioned above were used to estimate information for the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District management plan. The average annual water budget values for recharge, surface-water outflow, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, and the flow between aquifers within the district are summarized in this report.

Values may differ from the previous report as a result of routine updates to the spatial grid files used to define county, groundwater conservation district, and aquifer boundaries, which can impact the calculated water budget values. Additionally, the approach used for analyzing model results is reviewed during each update and may have been refined to better delineate groundwater flows. Finally, results may differ due to the use of more recent models or techniques.

It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as a district or county boundary, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located.

If the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District determines that the district boundaries used in the assessment do not reflect current conditions after reviewing the figures, please notify the TWDB Groundwater Modeling Department at your earliest convenience.

The flow components presented in this report do not represent the full groundwater budget. If additional inflow and outflow information would be helpful for planning purposes, the district may submit a request in writing to the TWDB Groundwater Modeling Department for the full groundwater budget.

Models and Aquifers

Groundwater availability model for the Seymour and Blaine aquifers

- Version 1.01 (Ewing and others, 2004)
- The model was run with MODFLOW-2000 (Harbaugh and others, 2000).
- Water budgets were extracted for the historical calibration period (1980 through 1999) using ZONEBUDGET Version 3.01 (Harbaugh, 2009).
- This model was used to analyze the following aquifers:
    - Seymour Aquifer
    - Blaine Aquifer

Groundwater availability model for the High Plains Aquifer System

- Version 1.02 (Cawthon & Wade, 2025)
- The model was run with MODFLOW- MODFLOW-NWT (Niswonger and others, 2011).
- Water budgets were extracted for the historical calibration period (1980 through 2020) using ZONEBUDGET Version 3.01 (Harbaugh, 2009).
- This model was used to analyze the following aquifers:
    - Ogallala Aquifer
    - Dockum Aquifer

For more information on model parameters, packages used to simulate groundwater flow, other model layers, or model assumptions, please see the associated model reports linked in the References section.

RESULTS

A groundwater budget summarizes the amount of water entering and leaving the aquifer according to the groundwater availability model. Selected groundwater budget components listed below were extracted from the groundwater availability model results for the Seymour, Blaine, Ogallala, and Dockum aquifers located within Gateway Groundwater Conservation District:

1. Precipitation recharge - the areally distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.
2. Surface-water outflow - the total water discharging from the aquifer (outflow) to surface-water features such as streams, reservoirs, and springs.
3. Flow into and out of district - the lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.
4. Flow between aquifers - the net vertical flow between the aquifer and adjacent aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs.

The information needed for the district's management plan is summarized in Tables 1 through 4. Figures 1, 3, 5, and 7 show the area of the model from which the values in Tables 1 through 4 were extracted. Figures 2, 4, 6, and 8 provide a generalized diagram of the groundwater flow components provided in Tables 1 through 4.

Table 1: Summarized information for the Seymour Aquifer. All values are reported in acre-feet per year and rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Seymour Aquifer	52,172
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Seymour Aquifer	5,402
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Seymour Aquifer	1,398
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Seymour Aquifer	8,233
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	To Seymour Aquifer from Blaine Aquifer	10,660
	From Seymour aquifer to other underlying units	2,860





Figure 1: Active cells for the Seymour Aquifer inside the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District boundaries from which the information in Table 1 was extracted.



* Flow from underlying units includes net inflow of 10,660 acre-feet per year from Blaine Aquifer and net outflow of 2,860 acre-feet per year to other underlying units.

Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 1. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. For a full groundwater budget, please submit a request in writing to the Groundwater Modeling Department.

Figure 2: Generalized diagram of the summarized budget information from Table 1, representing directions of flow for the Seymour Aquifer within the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District. Flow values are expressed in acre-feet per year.

Table 2: Summarized information for the Blaine Aquifer. All values are reported in acre-feet per year and rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management Plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Blaine Aquifer	66,192
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Blaine Aquifer	34,570
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Blaine Aquifer	18,078
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Blaine Aquifer	9,813
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	From Blaine Aquifer to Seymour Aquifer	10,660
	From Blaine Aquifer to other overlaying units	590
	From Blaine Aquifer to Blaine equivalent units within the District	20,200





Figure 3: Active cells for the Blaine Aquifer inside the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District boundaries from which the information in Table 2 was extracted.



* Flow from the Blaine Aquifer includes net outflow of 10,660 acre-ft to the Seymour Aquifer, and net outflow of 590 acre-ft to other overlaying units

Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 2. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. For a full groundwater budget, please submit a request in writing to the Groundwater Modeling Department.

Figure 4: Generalized diagram of the summarized budget information from Table 2, representing directions of flow for the Blaine Aquifer within the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District. Flow values are expressed in acre-feet per year.

Table 3: Summarized information for the Ogallala Aquifer. All values are reported in acre-feet per year and rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management Plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Ogallala Aquifer	1,782
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Ogallala Aquifer	3,485
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Ogallala Aquifer	1,588
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Ogallala Aquifer	357
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	From Ogallala Aquifer to Dockum Aquifer	2,325





Figure 5: Active cells for the Ogallala Aquifer inside the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District boundaries from which the information in Table 3 was extracted



Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 3. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. For a full groundwater budget, please submit a request in writing to the Groundwater Modeling Department.

Figure 6: Generalized diagram of the summarized budget information from Table 3, representing directions of flow for the Ogallala Aquifer within the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District. Flow values are expressed in acre-feet per year.

Table 4: Summarized information for the Dockum Aquifer. All values are reported in acre-feet per year and rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management Plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Dockum Aquifer	403
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Dockum Aquifer	5,066
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Dockum Aquifer	1,580
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Dockum Aquifer	124
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	To Dockum Aquifer from Ogallala Aquifer	2,325





Figure 7: Active cells for the Dockum Aquifer inside the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District boundaries from which the information in Table 4 was extracted



Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 4. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. For a full groundwater budget, please submit a request in writing to the Groundwater Modeling Department.

Figure 8: Generalized diagram of the summarized budget information from Table 4, representing directions of flow for the Dockum Aquifer within the Gateway Groundwater Conservation District. Flow values are expressed in acre-feet per year.

LIMITATIONS

The groundwater models used in completing this analysis are the best available scientific tools that can be used to meet the stated objectives. To the extent that this analysis will be used for planning purposes and/or regulatory purposes related to pumping in the past and into the future, it is important to recognize the assumptions and limitations associated with the use of the results. In reviewing the use of models in environmental regulatory decision making, the National Research Council (2007) noted:

> *"Models will always be constrained by computational limitations, assumptions, and knowledge gaps. They can best be viewed as tools to help inform decisions rather than as machines to generate truth or make decisions. Scientific advances will never make it possible to build a perfect model that accounts for every aspect of reality or to prove that a given model is correct in all respects for a particular regulatory application. These characteristics make evaluation of a regulatory model more complex than solely a comparison of measurement data with model results."*

A key aspect of using a groundwater model to evaluate historic groundwater flow conditions includes the assumptions about the location in the aquifer where historic pumping was placed. Understanding the amount and location of historical pumping is as important as evaluating the volume of groundwater flow into and out of the district, between aquifers within the district (as applicable), interactions with surface water (as applicable), recharge to the aquifer system (as applicable), and other metrics that describe the impacts of that pumping. In addition, assumptions regarding precipitation, recharge, and interaction with streams are specific to particular historic time periods.

Because the application of groundwater models was designed to address regional scale questions, the results are most effective on a regional scale. The TWDB makes no warranties or representations related to the actual conditions of any aquifer at a particular location or at a particular time.

It is important for groundwater conservation districts to monitor groundwater pumping and overall conditions of the aquifer. Because of the limitations of groundwater models and the assumptions in this analysis, it is important that the groundwater conservation districts work with the TWDB to refine this analysis in the future given the reality of how the aquifer responds to the actual amount and location of pumping now and in the future. Historic precipitation patterns also need to be placed in context as future climatic conditions, such as dry and wet year precipitation patterns, may differ and affect groundwater flow conditions.

REFERENCES

Cawthon, T., and Wade, S. 2025, Extension of the Groundwater Availability Model for the High Plains Aquifer System through 2020, p. 187, Texas Water Development Board. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/hpas/hpas.asp

Cha, K. Y., 2019, GAM Run 19-023: Gateway Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan, p. 16. Texas Water Development Board.

Deeds, N. E., Harding, J. J., Jones, T. L., Singh, A., Hamlin, S. and Reedy, R. C., 2015, Final Conceptual Model Report for the High Plains Aquifer System Groundwater Availability Model, 590 p., https://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/hpas/HPAS_GAM_Conceptual_Report.pdf.

Deeds, N. E. and Jigmond, M., 2015, Numerical Model Report for the High Plains Aquifer System Groundwater Availability Model, Prepared for the Texas Water Development Board by INTERA., 121 p., https://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/hpas/HPAS_GAM_Numerical_Report.pdf

Ewing, J.E., Jones, T.L., Pickens, J.F., Chastaun-Howley, A., Dean, K.E., and Spear, A.A., 2004, Groundwater availability model for the Seymour Aquifer: Final report prepared for the Texas Water Development Board by INTERA, Inc., 533p., http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/symr/SYMR_Model_Report.pdf.

Harbaugh, A. W., Banta, E. R., Hill, M. C., and McDonald, M. G., 2000, MODFLOW-2000, the U.S. Geological Survey modular ground-water model -- User guide to modularization concepts and the Ground-Water Flow Process: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-92, 121 p.

Harbaugh, A. W., 2009, Zonebudget Version 3.01, A computer program for computing subregional water budgets for MODFLOW ground-water flow models, U.S. Geological Survey Groundwater Software., water.usgs.gov/nrp/gwsoftware/zonebud3/zonebudget3.html.

National Research Council, 2007, Models in Environmental Regulatory Decision Making Committee on Models in the Regulatory Decision Process, National Academies Press, Washington D.C., 287 p., www.nap.edu/catalog/11972/models-in-environmental-regulatory-decision-making.

Niswonger, R.G., Panday, S., and Ibaraki, M., 2011, MODFLOW-NWT, a Newton formulation for MODFLOW-2005: United States Geological Survey, Techniques and Methods 6-A37, 44 p.

Texas Water Code § 36.1071